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## List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCS</td>
<td>Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCUS</td>
<td>Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDM</td>
<td>Clean Development Mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDP</td>
<td>Carbon Disclosure Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CER</td>
<td>Certified Emission Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCI</td>
<td>Gasoline Compression Ignition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVAC</td>
<td>Heating Ventilation and Air Conditioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEA</td>
<td>International Energy Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEFA</td>
<td>Institute for Energy Economics and Financial Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFPen</td>
<td>French Institute of Petroleum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPO</td>
<td>Initial public offering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JV</td>
<td>Joint Venture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCOE</td>
<td>Levelized Cost of Electricity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCC</td>
<td>Mobile Carbon Capture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIT</td>
<td>Massachusetts Institute of Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOU</td>
<td>Memorandum of Understanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEAL</td>
<td>New Energy Algeria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNPC</td>
<td>Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOC</td>
<td>National Oil Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>Net Present Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGCI</td>
<td>Oil and Gas Climate Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPEC</td>
<td>Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>Photovoltaic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED</td>
<td>Renewable Energy Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINARAN</td>
<td>Solar Installation and Application on Petronas Rooftops &amp; Assets Nationwide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPI</td>
<td>Transition Pathway Initiative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Messages

• Nigeria is in trouble: its oil dependency is not sustainable. The country’s oil has lost its competitiveness and oil prices tend to remain below its production cost. Nigeria’s inefficient refineries are draining public money. Public and private debt in Nigeria is indexed on oil prices. The country’s sovereign debt rating was downgraded in March 2020 in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic and could be downgraded further if low oil prices are sustained as expected by rating agencies. These circumstances tend to compromise Nigeria’s ability to borrow to face the challenges of the health, economic, and climate crises.

• Moreover, the oil industry is now one of the worst-performing sectors in the financial market. Hit hard by the current COVID-19 crisis, the industry is affected by pessimistic scenarios on the return of demand and prices to past levels, putting oil-producing countries in dangerous economic, financial, and political situations. In the post-Paris Agreement world, marked by the low-carbon energy transition, renewables play a leading role, whereas the prominence of oil is set to diminish. As the world moves forward, the industry is a reminder of the past.

• The oil crisis looms large in Nigeria due to the country’s heavy dependence on revenues from the sector. Revenues from the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) can represent more than five times the country’s health expenditure, nearly seven times its foreign aid receipts, and more than fifteen times the value of the country’s sovereign wealth fund. Because of the scale of NNPC revenues, the Nigerian government’s largest spending decisions are associated with the oil sector rather than the low-carbon energy transition and associated public goods.

• Various national governments have had differing and apparently mutually exclusive objectives for their national oil companies (NOCs): maximizing fiscal revenues transferred to the country’s treasury; achieving commercial effectiveness to extend the company’s oil portfolio; delivering public goods and services; providing public employment, infrastructure or energy; or promoting the local private sector. The climate change agenda and the COVID-19 crisis force a reconciliation of these objectives: no NOC will be able to maximize fiscal transfers or commercial effectiveness without embracing the energy transition, which in turn represents the most critical public service to be delivered to citizens. Thus, NNPC’s business model must embrace the energy transition.

• Other NOCs have taken meaningful steps to become players in the low-carbon energy transition domestically or internationally. Five NOCs, in particular, have stepped up research and investment in renewables; green hydrogen; and carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS) technology, while targeting a reduction of their carbon footprint: Saudi Arabia’s Saudi Aramco, Norway’s Equinor, Brazil’s Petrobras, Malaysia’s Petronas, and Algeria’s Sonatrach. While COVID-19 has hastened Nigeria’s oil decline, it also creates an opportunity to advance the energy transition, leveraging NNPC as a key player of its realization, similarly to Algeria, Brazil, Malaysia, Norway, and Saudi Arabia.

• The five NOCs approach the energy transition differently and with different ambitions, and their climate governance framework is not always clear or elaborated. Even so, they have made the energy transition core to their strategies. They address the transition risk and seize the transition opportunities in a meaningful way, supporting their national governments’ commitments under the Paris Agreement.

• The five NOCs have also undergone reforms of various aspects of their corporate governance. Even if not always sufficient, these reforms position them to be players of the energy transition.

• The Nigerian government has started diversifying out from oil, and there are some signs that NNPC is embracing governance reforms and could help the government in achieving its ambition to participate in the energy transition. However, much more is needed to ensure that NNPC uses the energy transition as a business and development opportunity. This is an extraordinary task that only a well governed NNPC can take up.

Critical reform efforts should focus on:

• Hiring expertise to develop an energy transition plan for Nigeria and run an institutional analysis of the role to be played by each key public or private institution in the energy transition including NNPC.

• Reforming NNPC to ensure it can play this role, by:
  - making NNPC independent from political interference at all operational and management levels, reducing opportunities for corruption,
  - enshrining transparency and internal as well as external oversight in NNPC’s governance framework,
  - legally clarifying its funding mechanism and revenue retention model,
  - institutionalizing principles of climate change governance,
if profound reform is not feasible for lack of political champions inside the government or within NNPC, a better avenue would be to privatize NNPC or at least some of its subsidiaries.

- Setting up a separate division of NNPC or, in case of NNPC privatization, an independent entity with clear objectives related to the energy transition that are measured by transparent and auditable metrics. This division or entity would be in charge of developing a timeline to eliminate routine flaring and minimize non routine venting and flaring for existing fields; developing criteria to award new fields in accordance with a stranded asset risk analysis; and establishing carbon emission standards.

- Identifying funding sources for this new division or entity in advance and in ways that incentivize desired results.

The current crisis is a moment for reform on which Nigeria and NNPC should embark. While it is an incredible policy and political challenge, it is not unsurmountable. Other NOCs are showing the way, and policy guidance is already out there to guide countries and companies.
1. Introduction

The fossil fuel industry is in trouble. Fossil fuels are responsible for more than 65% of human-made global warming, and two-thirds of known reserves need to be left underground and be stranded. While 20 years ago oil and gas companies dominated the financial market, today they are being displaced by technology companies, nearly disappearing from the S&P 500, which evidences the decline of the industry. The competitiveness of renewable energy has now been established, and the energy demand is on trend to shift permanently to clean and modern sources of energy.

The economic downturn resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic is the latest blow inflicted on the oil industry. All scenarios anticipate that oil price levels will not return to historical trends, and some even predict that oil prices will not return to pre-COVID-19 levels.

Consequently, Nigeria is also in trouble given its heavy dependence on oil revenues. The Nigerian government cannot rely on these revenues to finance its stimulus package to keep the economy afloat during the COVID-19 crisis and most likely will not be able to rely on them for the recovery and beyond, given that Nigeria’s oil is not competitive enough at today’s oil price levels.

It is time for Nigeria to embrace the low-carbon energy transition and diversify out of the oil and gas industry before it sinks. The Nigerian government has started to make efforts in that direction. In June 2020, it removed the price cap for gasoline and associated subsidies and established the goal to increase renewable energy power generation to 30% of the installed capacity by 2030. Also, by 2030, the country plans to have 5.3 GW of mini-grids (up from 1 MW in 2015) and 2.8 GW of solar home systems (up from 30 MW in 2015).

In particular, Nigeria needs to rethink the role of its National Oil Company (NOC)—the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC)—to support this ambition, turning critical and challenging times into an opportunity for both the company and the country. The government already anticipated this role in 2005 by requiring NNPC to open the renewable energy division. This entity or a separate one with similar mandate, now needs to be given the means to play a strategic role.

Other NOCs have taken meaningful steps to become players in the energy transition domestically or internationally. We review the energy transition strategies adopted and measures taken by Algeria’s Sonatrach, Brazil’s Petrobras, Malaysia’s Petronas, Norway’s Equinor, and Saudi Arabia’s Saudi Aramco. Their experience can serve as a source of guidance and inspiration for Nigeria’s reform of NNPC, even though they must also make significant progress to align with the trajectory required by the Paris Agreement.

Becoming a player in the energy transition and supporting governments in achieving the Paris Agreement will entail shifting the business model of these companies over time, which is always a strategic and operational challenge that only well-governed companies can take on successfully. To this end, we also analyze the corporate governance structures of these five NOCs and compare them to that of NNPC to draw lessons.

The current crisis is a moment for reform on which Nigeria and NNPC should embark. While it is an incredible policy and political challenge, it is not unsurmountable. Other NOCs are showing the way, and policy guidance is already out there to guide countries and companies.
2. The Low-Carbon Transition and COVID-19 Crisis: Hastened Fall of the Oil and Gas Sector and Rise of Renewable Energies

In 2019, the energy sector—composed mostly of oil and gas companies—was the worst-performing one on the S&P 500 index. In 1980 the energy industry accounted for 25% of the index’s value (with 7 out of the 10 top companies being oil and gas companies), while as of July 2020 oil and gas companies accounted only for 2.8% of the index (Figure 1). In August 2019, Exxon Mobil’s weight in the S&P 500 index dropped to 1%, dropping out of the top 10 companies. In fact, no oil and gas company remains in the top 10.

Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic has caused an unprecedented global crisis, with lower oil prices and, for one day, even negative future prices. Even after the global economy restarts and under optimistic scenarios, oil prices are expected to recover to pre-COVID-19 prices in 2021 or 2022 at a USD 50/bbl. to USD 60/bbl. range. Under another scenario, that price level will be reached in 2024. In a pessimistic scenario, prices do not return to past levels.

The oil industry will face a challenging macroeconomic environment with a predicted decline in global demand for hydrocarbons from 2030 onward due to the low-carbon energy transition, which represents “significant business and credit risk” for oil companies. Even in the Middle East, where oil is low cost and abundant, some governments have made the energy transition the strategic priority. Saudi Arabia recently tripled its renewable energy target, and the United Arab Emirates launched its “Energy Plan 2050,” aiming to cut carbon dioxide emissions by 70%, improve energy efficiency by 40%, and reach a 50% stake in clean energy by 2050.

Bloomberg NEF forecasts that renewable energy sources could meet half of the world’s energy demand by 2050. Today solar energy represents 2% of global electricity generation and wind 5%; by 2050 solar could rise to 22% and wind to 26% (Figure 2). Dramatic technological advances in batteries and energy storage accompany this development. Bloomberg NEF estimates that USD 13.3 trillion will be invested in new power generation assets by 2050, with renewables account-

---

**Figure 1: Oil and gas industry underperformance vs S&P 500**

Source: McKinsey.
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The main enabler of this shift is the radical drop in cost of solar and wind energy, making these technologies more competitive than fossil fuels on a non-subsidized basis. Moreover, new technological advances, continuous economies of scale, and competition continue to reduce the levelized cost of electricity (LCOE) for wind and solar energy. In late 2019, electricity costs from new solar photovoltaic (PV) plants were 83% lower than ten years earlier.

Renewable energy investments also provide for a higher job multiplier than fossil fuels. Every USD 1 million in spending in energy infrastructure generates “7.49 full-time jobs in renewables infrastructure, 7.72 in energy efficiency, but only 2.65 in fossil fuels.”

In a post-COVID-19 reality and with the low-carbon energy transition, lower oil prices and the demise of the global oil sector, oil-producing countries, including Nigeria, could face a dangerous financial situation.

2.1. Implications of the Oil Crisis for Nigeria

Nigeria’s oil dependency is not sustainable as the country’s oil has lost its competitiveness against international oil companies and oil prices tend to remain below production costs.

2.1.1. Nigeria’s Oil Is No Longer Competitive

Approximately ten years ago, Nigeria was among the most valuable countries by net present value (NPV) for Shell’s global upstream portfolio but today it’s ranked fifth. It also has slipped in the rankings for Chevron and Eni and hardly makes it to the top 10 of ExxonMobil’s upstream portfolio. Only Total still considers Nigeria among its top three countries for investment. As a consequence, few of the deep-water prospects have been developed. The main reason is related to production costs. In ten years, global deep-water project costs have fallen by 50% and averaged less than USD 10/boe for 2018 financial investment decisions. Currently, costs of Nigeria’s deep-water pre-financial investment decision projects are among the highest in the world (Figure 3).

Figure 2: Global power generation mix (1970–2050)

Source: Bloomberg NEF.
This decline in investment attractiveness is reflected in the latest ranking of the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC), showing that Nigeria has had the lowest per capita revenue from oil exports every year in the past 20 years.\footnote{Equipping the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) for the Low-Carbon Transition}

Oil price crashes due to the COVID-19 crisis could delay investment further.\footnote{Equipping the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) for the Low-Carbon Transition} The Nigerian government has changed its original benchmark of USD 57 per barrel for the 2020 budget to USD 30 per barrel, responding to the oil price crash.\footnote{Equipping the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) for the Low-Carbon Transition} With production costs above the long-term oil price that may stabilize around 40 USD/boe,\footnote{Equipping the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) for the Low-Carbon Transition} Nigeria will see more high-cost project shut-ins and the failure of the government’s plan to reach an increasing daily production of 3 million barrels.\footnote{Equipping the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) for the Low-Carbon Transition} Even in an optimistic scenario, oil prices will hardly bounce back to cover the Nigerian deficit.

Furthermore, Nigeria’s cost structure has alarmed its legislators. According to Senator Adeola, “while cost of oil production in Saudi Arabia is USD 4 per barrel and USD 3 per barrel in Russia, it is USD 21.2 per barrel in Nigeria, indicating very poor marginal profit of about USD 3 per barrel based on the new oil price benchmark of USD 25 per barrel.”\footnote{Equipping the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) for the Low-Carbon Transition}

Nigeria’s capacity to refine and process crude oil also remains behind. The country’s refining capacity is significantly low (capacity utilization at 8.67%) and therefore cannot process the oil produced. The country must then import refined oil from other markets, putting the national economy at risk due to exchange rate shocks.\footnote{Equipping the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) for the Low-Carbon Transition}

### 2.1.2. Nigeria’s Economy is Dangerously Dependent on Oil and NNPC’s Oil Revenues

Nigeria’s economy is dependent on oil, which accounted for 95% of the country’s exports in 2019. In 2019, oil revenues represented 52.7% of government revenues.\footnote{Equipping the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) for the Low-Carbon Transition}

Moreover, Nigeria depends considerably on NNPC’s oil sales revenues. In 2013, a high price year, funds collected from NNPC made up 74% of government revenues, and between 2011 and 2017 this number ranged between 45% and 74%.\footnote{Equipping the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) for the Low-Carbon Transition} In 2015, NNPC’s sales revenues were more than 5 times the country’s health expenditure, nearly 7 times its foreign aid receipts, and more than 15 times the value of the country’s sovereign wealth fund (Figure 4).\footnote{Equipping the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) for the Low-Carbon Transition}

![Figure 3: Nigeria’s Pre-Financial Investment Decision Projects](source: Wood Mackenzie, 2018)
NNPC transfers only part of its revenues to the government, keeping the rest for operations and investment at its discretion and bypassing the budgetary processes; at the same time, NNPC still needs government approval to pay for cash calls (see Section 4.1.3). NNPC’s reinvestment in its traditional core activities represents an opportunity cost, as every dollar spent by NNPC on oil—rather than being spent by the government on green investments—delays Nigeria’s energy transition and deepens its oil dependency. Because of the scale of NNPC’s spending needs, the Nigerian government’s largest spending decisions are associated with the oil sector rather than the energy transition and associated public goods.

In addition, public and private debt is indexed on oil prices. NNPC suffers from long-term liabilities accumulated in opaque conditions, while by the end of 2019, the oil and gas sector “represented about 30% of Nigerian banks’ gross loans.” A decrease in oil price and the associated closure of oil fields represent a systemic risk for the country, at least in the short term, until the country’s macroeconomic environment and fiscal pace is decoupled from the oil price.

Because Nigeria’s economy is so dependent on oil, Nigeria is expected to experience currency devaluation and prolonged recession. The oil price slump, the current macroeconomic climate, and the pressure resulting from the COVID-19 crisis will affect the country’s indebtedness and payment capacity. For example, Nigerian banks’ credit profiles are expected to worsen as their assets deteriorate with high exposure to the oil sector.

Nigeria’s sovereign debt rating was downgraded in March 2020 in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic and could be downgraded further if the low oil prices are sustained as expected by rating agencies. This compromises Nigeria’s ability to borrow to face the challenges of the health, economic, and climate crises.

The International Energy Agency (IEA) has already warned that Nigeria “is considerably less prepared to tackle this [oil price] slump as gross domestic product has shrunk by almost a third in five years. The economy had been projected to grow 2.5% this year, slower than its population, until the coronavirus tipped it into a likely recession.”

Figure 4: NNPC and Nigeria – comparison

Source: NRGI.
2.2. Implications of the Oil Crisis for National Oil Companies

A major challenge during and after the COVID-19 crisis for National Oil Companies (NOC) will come from governments being pressured to reallocate budgetary resources from their oil companies for investments in health, education, and fiscal stimulus to reactivate the economy.\(^{38}\) Given that oil revenues make up a critical component of national budgets, this pressure is being passed on to NOCs, in particular those that have a high cost of production and struggle with low oil prices—which is precisely the current situation of Nigeria’s NNPC.\(^{39}\) Even so, a forward-looking government could seize the momentum to turn the crisis into an opportunity to overhaul the NOC’s contribution to the country and turn it into a leader of the energy transition.

Various governments have had differing and apparently mutually exclusive objectives for their NOCs: maximizing fiscal revenues transferred to the country’s treasury; achieving commercial effectiveness to extend the company’s oil portfolio; delivering public goods and services; providing public employment, infrastructure or energy; or promoting the local private sector.\(^{40}\) The climate change agenda and the COVID-19 crisis reconcile all these objectives: no NOC will be able to maximize fiscal transfers or commercial effectiveness without embracing the energy transition, which in turn represents the most critical public service to be delivered to citizens.

Many national governments are full owners or majority shareholders of NOCs that jointly make up approximately half of global oil reserves.\(^{41}\) If operated efficiently, NOCs can help countries ensure a smooth decarbonization and play an important role in achieving the Paris Agreement on climate change.
3. Energy Transition Strategies of Selected NOCs and Lessons Learned for NNPC

This section takes a deep dive into the decarbonization strategy of five NOCs that have stepped up research and investment in renewables, green hydrogen, and carbon capture and storage (CCS) technology while targeting a reduction of their carbon footprint: Saudi Arabia’s Saudi Aramco, Norway’s Equinor, Brazil’s Petrobras, Malaysia’s Petronas, and Algeria’s Sonatrach. While COVID-19 has hastened Nigeria’s oil decline, it also creates an opportunity to attain the energy transition, leveraging NNPC as a key player of its realization, similarly to Algeria, Brazil, Malaysia, Norway, and Saudi Arabia.

The Climate Accountability Institute ranks the top 20 companies by carbon dioxide emissions since 1965; 12 of them are NOCs, Saudi Aramco ranks 1st with 4.38% of global emissions; Sonatrach ranks 18th with 0.91%; and Petrobras ranks 20th with 0.64%.46

Three of the five reviewed NOCs—Saudi Aramco, Equinor, and Petrobras—are part of the Oil and Gas Climate Initiative (OGCI) and in May 2020 along with their peers (BP, Chevron, CNPC, Eni, Exxon Mobil, Occidental, Repsol, Shell, and Total) reiterated their commitment to “reducing emissions; implementing and scaling-up of innovative low carbon solutions; advancing opportunities to scale up commercially viable, environmentally responsible, and safe carbon capture, use and storage; and supporting governments as they design efficient policies that can accelerate energy transitions.”47

The five assessed NOCs approach the energy transition differently and with different ambitions, but they have all made it core to their strategies. While their climate governance framework is not always clear or elaborated, they are all addressing the transition risk and seizing the transition opportunities in a meaningful way. All five NOCs are supporting their national government’s commitment to achieve the NDCs. In the sections that follow, we compare the various elements of their strategies to NNPC’s.

3.1. Climate Governance Framework

NNPC

NNPC’s climate governance framework is uncertain. In 2005, following a presidential directive, NNPC opened a Renewable Energy Division (RED), with the vision of becoming a leader in renewable energy business toward a low-carbon economy. The RED was set up with the following objectives: engaging in the production of biofuels and becoming a dominant player in that business; entering the business of renewable energy production; earning certified emission reduction (CER) credits under the Kyoto Protocol’s Clean Development Mechanism (CDMs); and participating in global carbon emission reduction initiatives.48

Saudi Aramco, Equinor, Petrobras, Petronas, and Sonatrach

The Transition Pathway Initiative (TPI) measures companies’ performance in levels of sophistication and effectiveness of their climate governance frameworks. In particular, the initiative measures whether a company has acknowledged climate change as a business issue (level 1), has built internal capacities to set greenhouse gas emission reduction targets and is transparent on scopes 1 and 2 (level 2), has integrated them into operational decision making (level 3), and has brought climate change to the core of its strategy assessment (level 4). (See Annex for the complete TPI questionnaire). 49

TPI has assessed both Equinor and Petrobras. The other three companies analyzed here—Petronas, Saudi Aramco, and Sonatrach—have reported neither to TPI nor to CDP (formerly the Carbon Disclosure Project), an alternative framework to assess climate governance.

Equinor holds level 4 (strategic level) by achieving the following: setting long-term quantitative targets for reducing its greenhouse gas emissions, remunerating senior executives on the basis of climate change performance, incorporating climate change risks and opportunities in its strategy, undertaking climate scenario planning, disclosing an internal price of carbon, and ensuring consistency between its climate change policy and the positions taken by trade associations of which it is a member.50

Petrobras holds level 3, meaning that it has integrated the management of greenhouse gas emissions and of risks and opportunities related to the low-carbon transition into its operational decision making. However, it still has some challenges such as supporting domestic and international efforts to mitigate climate change (level 3), remunerating senior executives based on climate change performance, incorporating climate change risks and opportunities in their strategy, and ensuring consistency between its climate change policy and the positions taken by trade associations of which it is a member (level 4).51

Lacking a detailed TPI assessment, the Petronas Carbon Commitments document provides information on the company’s climate governance framework. These commitments include zero continuous flaring and venting of hydrocarbon in the design of new upstream and downstream facilities and projects, zero continuous venting and reduction of continuous
flaring in existing operations when feasible; a carbon price in project-decision making together with the option of carbon offsets where economically feasible; the incorporation of carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS) technologies at the design phase for high-CO₂ field development; and the consideration of adopting renewable energy technologies in all facilities and projects where operationally and economically feasible.⁵²

For Saudi Aramco and Sonatrach, there is little information on their climate governance frameworks. For Saudi Aramco, more information should become available with its listing on the Saudi stock exchange. At the minimum, we know that Saudi Aramco acknowledges climate change as a pressing issue⁵³ and a risk to its operations.⁵⁴ Moreover, since 2018 Saudi Aramco’s greenhouse gas emissions have been verified and certified by an external party.⁵⁵ As for Sonatrach, it has committed to expand its capabilities in renewable energies to support the Algerian government⁵⁶ and to improve its energy efficiency.⁵⁷

### 3.2. Addressing Transition Risks

Addressing the transition risk means anticipating government policies that will penalize high-carbon content in operations, in line with the country’s commitment to the Paris Agreement. A transition strategy needs ambitious targets on carbon intensity reduction, ideally aligned with science-based targets.⁵⁸

**NNPC**

NNPC lacks a strategy to address the transition risk and decrease the carbon intensity of its production while its carbon intensity on scopes 1 and 2 is above the global average (see Figure 5).

While the quantity of associated gas flared decreased from 2,742 mmscfd or 64% of the produced associated gas in 2001 to 19% or 880 mmscfd in 2018 (Figure 6), it remains very high by international standards—the global average is 1.1%⁵⁹—contributing to making Nigeria the world’s 7th flaring country in 2018.⁶⁰ In addition, there is accounting of underreporting of flaring.⁶¹

---

**Figure 5: Emission Intensity of Oil Production and Processing in Selected Countries**

Source: IEA, Oil Climate Index, Carnegie Endowment, Capterio analysis⁶⁵
Equipping the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) for the Low-Carbon Transition

Figure 7 shows the companies that flared the highest volume of associated gas in 2018. Many of these assets are under JV contracts with NNPC.

The 178 gas flare sites in the Niger Delta flare around 1 billion scf of gas, which could satisfy more the needs of the current power generation and domestic industry. Therefore, failing to eliminate flaring is not only an environmental issue but also a missed economic opportunity. As the majority equity holder in joint ventures (JV) or concessionaire in production sharing contracts, NNPC should drive the investment to end gas flaring. This investment is substantive and means maximizing the opportunity of CO₂ use in enhanced oil recovery, using gas to power current oil fields and developing additional functioning and reliable gas infrastructure in Nigeria and Western Africa. The Nigerian government set a 2020 flare-out target date (10 years before that of the World Bank’s initiative that Nigeria endorsed), and NNPC and its JV partners might incur penalties for non-compliance.

**Saudi Aramco, Equinor, Petrobras, Petronas, and Sonatrach**

Although all five NOCs analyzed here have committed to reducing their carbon intensity, they do not always have targets or ambitious enough targets. All of them do have 2030 as a flare-out date; this date has been established by the World Bank’s Zero Routine Flaring by 2030 Initiative, that all reviewed NOCs, but Petronas, endorsed.

Equinor’s strategy, even though it is the most comprehensive and aggressive one among the NOCs analyzed, is still not on par with what is required to achieve the Paris Agreement. The strategy involves targets on reducing the carbon intensity of its operations and its value chain by 2050. Equinor aims to achieve carbon-neutral operations and eliminate routine flaring by 2030, reducing absolute emissions in Norway to near zero by 2050; for the value chain it aims at reducing the net carbon intensity from the energy produced to energy consumed by at least 50% by 2050 (Figure 8). Equinor has a company-wide upstream flaring intensity target of 0.2% by 2020 for the operated assets and a zero routine flaring target by 2030 at the latest. In Norway’s operations, there is no routine flaring.
Equipping the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) for the Low-Carbon Transition

In the last decade (2009–2019), Petrobras reduced the volume of emissions by more than 40% and aims at reducing its carbon intensity even more by 2025 to reach 15 kg CO₂e/boe in exploration and production and 36 kg CO₂e/CWT in refining. In 2019, Petrobras reduced its flared gas by 12% as compared to 2018 and targets zero routine flaring by 2030. The carbon intensity of Petrobras’s operations is among the lowest of all oil and gas companies. Even so, as is the case for Equinor, this intensity still exceeds what is needed to achieve the Paris Agreement.

Petronas reduced its carbon footprint by 13%, from 56.50 million tCO₂e in 2017 to 49 million tCO₂e in 2018; it aims at zero continuous venting by 2024 and zero continuous flaring by 2030, while not specifying a target for overall emissions reduction.

Sonatrach reported a 2% reduction of flared gas in 2018 compared to 2017 levels, and a 3% reduction compared to 2014. The flaring rate is now at 5%, and the goal is to reach less than 1% of gas production in 2021. However, like Petronas, Sonatrach does not have a target for overall emissions reduction.

Saudi Aramco’s direct and indirect emissions have decreased between 2017 and 2018, showing improvement in energy intensity: reductions of 4% in direct emissions, 10% in indirect emissions, and 3.6% in energy intensity. The flaring intensity also dropped by 4% from 2018 to 2019; flaring intensity is now at less than 1%.

3.3. Seizing the Transition Opportunity

All five NOCs are turning the climate change imperative into a business opportunity, and three of them—Equinor, Petronas, and Sonatrach—even contemplate shifts in business models anchored in strategies to substantially increase the share of renewable energy installed capacity in their portfolios. To seize the transition opportunity, NOCs may (1) build on their competitive advantages, (2) aim at transforming the market by pioneering and demonstrating solutions, and (3) dedicate a sizeable R&D budget and venture capital to low-carbon solutions.

3.3.1. Building Competitive Advantages

NNPC

NNPC’s RED builds on NNPC’s existing capacity in making oil products to specialize in biofuels. It has launched an automotive biofuel program for the production of ethanol and biodiesel that would be blended with conventional fuels and has mobilized agriculture crop fields for the production of biofuels. NNPC’s RED is also developing plans for on-grid and off-grid solar energy PV technology, for deployment in retail stations and NNPC facilities. NNPC’s RED is doing data gathering for CDM Opportunities in the Oil and Gas Sector as well in the solar sector.

Figure 8: Equinor carbon intensity reduction

Source: Equinor.
**Saudi Aramco, Equinor, Petrobras, Petronas, and Sonatrach**

All five NOCs face the energy transition by situating their competitive advantage and striving to build on it to become competitive during the energy transition. While some are already becoming strong leaders in renewable energies, others are slowly building capabilities in renewable energies and in the meanwhile are focusing on improving the carbon efficiency of their operations.

Equinor is building on its expertise in offshore platforms to become a market leader in offshore wind. To this end, it has set aggressive short- and medium-term targets to add 4 to 6 GW by 2026 (10 times higher than today’s capacity) and 12 to 16 GW by 2035. Over the past years some transformational projects include Dogger Bank (United Kingdom) and Empire Wind (United States). Dogger Bank is expected to be the world’s largest offshore wind farm with a total installed capacity of 3.6 GW. Empire Wind, with a capacity of 816 MW, will provide wind electricity to one million homes in New York City. Equinor is also pioneering with Hywind Tampen, which will feature the world’s first offshore oil fields to be powered by floating offshore wind.81

Equinor’s diversification strategy has also aimed at solar projects, although solar is not the company’s competitive advantage. Equinor has a minority stake in Scatec Solar and is present in two solar projects in South America (in Argentina and Brazil) but is hoping to grow in the market.82

Petronas seeks to increase renewable energy capacity to 3,000 MW by 2024, leveraging capabilities it has been developing since 2012. For instance, in 2012 it developed its first solar project by commissioning a 685-kW solar PV system on the rooftop of the Suria KLCC shopping mall in Kuala Lumpur, satisfying 30% of the building’s electricity demand. Afterwards, it developed a 10 MW solar plant in Gebeng, Pahang, and a solar PV system in Arexons in Italy. In 2018 Petronas installed solar PV panels at the Pengerang Integrated Complex in Johor with a capacity of 207 KW. It plans to implement the Solar Installation and Application on Petronas Rooftops & Assets Nationwide project (SINARAN), with a potential of 30 MWp on rooftops of Petronas facilities.81

By 2030 Sonatrach aims to “develop production capacities of electricity from renewable energy; master [PV] energy technology becoming more profitable and cheaper; and save natural gas volumes consumed in electricity by production units to direct them toward export.”84 The company is focusing on building a competitive advantage on solar. Currently, it spends 2% of its total investments in solar; however, it targets to have 80% of its own electricity needs satisfied by solar by 2030 and plans to expand its solar generation to 4 GW by 2030, contributing to the Algerian government’s goal to have 22 GW of solar capacity by 2030.85

Already in 2002 Sonatrach, Sonelgaz, and the private group SIM created the New Energy Algeria (NEAL), a company with the mission of developing and promoting renewable energy in Algeria. In 2006, NEAL, in partnership with Abener Energia and Holding SVH, set up Solar Power Plant One to operate the power plant Hassi R’mel, generating 25 MW of solar power out of 150 MW generated by thermal energy. In November 2018 Sonatrach partnered with Eni to commission a solar power plant that will partly power the needs of the oil field of Bir Reba Nord, saving 6 million of m³ of gas per year.86

While Equinor, Petronas, and Sonatrach are already investing in renewable energies and plan to be market leaders in that sector on the domestic market as well as internationally, Petrobras and Saudi Aramco are focusing their efforts on improving the carbon efficiency of their operations, while slowly building capabilities in renewable energies.

Petrobras’s Business and Management Plan 2019–2023 anticipates investments of USD 400 million in renewable energy and gas, while allocating USD 500 million to mitigation projects for its thermal operations and having less than 1% of its energy portfolio (measures in MW) invested in renewable energies.87 However, in 2019, Petrobras signed a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with Equinor to develop offshore wind projects jointly in Brazil, supported by R&D.88

Saudi Aramco is specializing in CCUS to enhance oil recovery, using the latest technologies to make the solutions cost effective. For instance, the Hawiyah Gas Plant captures 30 million standard cubic feet of CO₂ every day, and the CO₂ is later piped to the Uthmaniyah oil field and injected into the oil reservoir to enhance oil recovery. Saudi Aramco is also capturing and taking advantage of wasted energy. For instance, it acquired Novomer’s Converge in 2016, a polypropylene carbonate polyols product line, to convert waste CO₂ into high-value materials.89

Saudi Aramco is building its capability in renewable energies by equipping its own facilities with solar: it installed Saudi Arabia’s first wind turbine at the Turaif oil refinery plant. It has a 100,000 m² carpark solar panel system with a power generation capacity of 10.5 MW.90 It has also made an announcement, prior to the public offering, to dedicate between USD 30 billion to USD 50 billion of capital expenditure in renewable energies by 2023–2025, making up 1.7% of the total investment that Saudi Aramco plans to invest by 2025.91
3.3.2. Transforming the Market

NNPC

It remains unclear whether NNPC’s Automotive Biofuel program involves a close partnership with the automotive sector and downstream markets.92

Saudi Aramco, Equinor, Petrobras, Petronas, and Sonatrach

Equinor, Saudi Aramco, and to a lesser extent Petrobras and Petronas are pioneering and demonstrating the viability of innovative technologies to transform the downstream markets.

Equinor and Saudi Aramco have been working with the industries combusting the fuel to reduce their footprint through CCUS solutions. For instance, Equinor has partnered with Shell, Total, and the Norwegian government in the Northern Lights project, which involves the pipeline transport and permanent storage of CO2 from various third-party onshore industries (such as cement and waste to energy) in a reservoir in the North Sea. Northern Lights is expected to have an initial annual storage capacity of 1.5 million tones CO2. This project follows the Norwegian government’s ambition to develop a full-scale CCUS value chain in Norway by 2024.93 Saudi Aramco is looking to transform the transportation market by using Mobile Carbon Capture (MCC) technology, which “enable[s] the unloading of CO2 at fuel stations for sequestration or recycling into other forms of material or energy.” It can capture up to 25% of the CO2 emitted from a vehicle’s exhaust and store it on board the vehicle until offloading. The technology is piloted with Volvo trucks and is targeting 50% of CO2 emissions reduction, with 10% coming from improved engine and fuel technology (see below) and 40% from the MCC.94

Saudi Aramco, Equinor, Petrobras, and Petronas have worked with industrial partners on other solutions as well. Saudi Aramco is working with two carmakers and technology institutes on developing fuel-efficient engines using the gasoline compression ignition (GCI) engine and opposed piston engine technologies. The GCI technology is expected to be market ready by 2021.95 Similarly Petronas is working with Daimler Automotive on low-carbon fuel and carbon efficient engines through the use of a cooling lubricant technology.96

While Petrobras is developing biofuels as jet fuels through their BioQav product (a jet fuel produced from various sources of biomass to be mixed with conventional jet fuel),97 Equinor is working on developing hydrogen solutions for hard-to-abate sectors. Equinor is partnering with Vattenfall and Gasunie in converting Vattenfall’s Magnum gas-fired power plant in the Netherlands to run on hydrogen by 2023—the first project of the kind in the world.98

Since 2012, Saudi Aramco leads a non-industrial energy initiative to mainstream energy efficiency among companies and households—the Lead by Example program. The goal of the initiative is to “demonstrate viable energy efficiency solutions for other companies and communities to emulate and concentrates on six key focus areas: water heaters, lighting, vehicles, heating ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC), home ownership, and smart electricity meters.” Under this initiative, Saudi Aramco aims at reducing its own non-industrial energy consumption by 2020 by 35% versus a 2013 baseline. As of 2019, it had achieved 90% progress toward this goal.99

3.3.3. Supporting Innovation in the Market

NNPC

NNPC does not report any research-related activity.

Saudi Aramco, Equinor, Petrobras, Petronas, and Sonatrach

Looking forward and keeping up with the challenges of the energy transition, the five NOCs analyzed have increased their R&D investments, partnered with research institutions or invested in venture capital structures.

Equinor expects to increase its investments in low-carbon solutions, renewables, and energy efficiency from 20% to 25% of R&D funds by the end of 2020. In 2019 more than 65% of these funds went into CCUS and renewables.100 Petrobras’s R&D investments focus on renewables and biofuels. Petronas invests R&D in CCUS and fuel efficiency.101 Saudi Aramco is partnering with academic and research partners experts in sustainable energy, among them are the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Stanford University, Imperial College, Tsinghua University, the Korean Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, and French Institute of Petroleum (IFPen).102 Sonatrach partnered with Eni to collaborate in research for renewable energy, and petrophysics, with the Korean Institute of Industry for environmental technology and with ENGIE for solar applications.

Equinor is investing USD 200 million in small and medium enterprises through venture capital funding to support the development of new technologies in both renewables and carbon-efficient oil and gas. Recent projects include the waste heat to power technology that has the potential to reduce the CO2 emissions from offshore gas turbines by 15–25%.103 Saudi Aramco’s corporate venture capital will invest USD 500 million in renewable energy solutions and energy efficiency for oil and gas. As part of Saudi Aramco’s strategic investments, it signed an MOU with the Abu Dhabi National Oil Company (ADNOC) and Masdar, an Abu Dhabi-based renewable energy and sustainable urban development company, to deliver improved performance and efficiency in the oil and gas value chain, clean electricity generation, and carbon capture.104
4. Analysis of Governance Aspects of the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation

To play an effective role in the energy transition, NOCs need to be financially robust and operationally sound. Adhering to principles of good governance is a prerequisite to commercial and operational success. For this reason, we present in this section a comparative analysis of certain governance aspects of NNPC as well as five other NOCs: Algeria’s Sonatrach, Brazil’s Petrobras, Malaysia’s Petronas, Norway’s Equinor, and Saudi Arabia’s Saudi Aramco.

Our analysis is guided by the principles set out in the Natural Resource Charter Benchmarking Framework (Benchmarking Framework), a tool for benchmarking various aspects of a country’s performance on managing its extractives resources against global best practices. The analysis focuses on Precept 6 of Benchmarking Framework; by examining the mandates, missions, and governance and operating models of the NOCs, we aim to draw lessons that could be applied to improve the governance of NNPC.

4.1. SOE role and funding. Does the government clearly define the SOE’s role and establish a working funding mechanism for the company? (Precept 6.1)

4.1.1. Commercial role. Does the government clearly define a commercial role for the SOE that reflects the company’s actual financial and technical capacity? (Precept 6.1.1)

**NNPC**

NNPC is a vertically integrated wholly state-owned enterprise through which the Federal Republic of Nigeria participates in the oil and gas industry. NNPC has few limits on the commercial activities it is authorized to engage in. The NNPC Act 1977 describes the entity as being empowered to take part in “all” commercial activities that relate to the petroleum sector. NNPC has exercised its commercial mandate in accordance with various objectives over time, including: to participate as an operator, to invest in order to increase reserves, to increase...
NNPC’s broad discretion to determine the contours of its commercial mandate has led to the creation of 17 wholly-owned subsidiaries, 16 partially-owned subsidiaries or associated companies, and 7 corporate service units that engage in activities that span the oil and gas value chain, including exploration and production, gas development, refineries, petroleum products, and gas transportation, and distribution to retail. Beyond the oil and gas value chain, NNPC has subsidiaries that focus on renewable energy, healthcare provision, real estate investment, among others.

NNPC’s subsidiaries vary in their performance. The Nigerian Petroleum Development Company, which drives NNPC’s core business of exploration and production, is considered one of the most profitable areas of the business, while downstream, NNPC’s refineries—Port Harcourt Refinery, Warri Refinery, and Kaduna Refinery—consistently underperform, face high maintenance issues and operate at tremendous loss.

Similarly, NNPC’s Crude Oil Marketing Division, which is in charge of crude oil sales, is beset with governance challenges that undermine the government’s ability to maximize revenue from oil sales (Figure 9). The variable performance of NNPC’s subsidiaries may be reflective of each subsidiaries’ financial and technical capacity to carry out its assigned activities.

**Saudi Aramco, Equinor, Petrobras, Petronas, and Sonatrach**

In the international NOCs analyzed as follows, there is a degree of political control as well as non-commercial roles. Even so, all five governments are at least formally committed to the policy that the NOCs are to be run as enterprises with profit-seeking objectives and to be provided with substantial administrative, commercial, technical, and operational decision-making autonomy. All five NOCs are vertically integrated, engage in activities associated with international oil companies, lead the oil and gas sector in their respective domestic markets, and some of them (namely, Equinor, Petrobras, and Petronas) operate in a number of countries other than their respective home states, resembling private international oil companies.

Originally established in 1936 as a private company, Saudi Aramco became a NOC wholly owned by the government in 1980, after a process of increasing participation acquisitions by the Saudi government. It operates as a joint-stock company since 2018, when the government offered 1.5% of the company’s share capital for sale in the public market. Saudi Aramco is the world’s largest integrated oil and gas company and the “most valuable publicly traded company in history.” Even though it is subject to a degree of political interference, it operates with substantial financial and operational autonomy and “on an essentially technocratic basis with decisions being made on the basis of commercial, economic, and technical criteria.”

The Brazilian government created Petrobras in 1953 as a majority state-owned joint-stock company, granting it a national monopoly in the exploration, production, refining, and transportation of petroleum. Private equity participation was aimed at guaranteeing a certain level of capitalization. Petrobras was not created to be a source of fiscal resources to the government or to make available politically oriented services such as local employment. Initially operating the importation of crude oil for local refining and distribution, Petrobras developed Brazil’s oil industry practically from scratch. Since a 1995 constitutional amendment allowing private participation in all levels of the oil industry, Petrobras is subject to domestic and foreign competition. Based on a core strategic decision, the company has developed a long-term comparative advantage in deep-water exploration, becoming a world leader in the segment.

In 1963, the Algerian government created Sonatrach as a state-owned commercial company responsible for the transportation and marketing of hydrocarbons, extending its mandate in 1966 to the exploration, production, and transformation of oil products. Sonatrach’s exploration and development efforts have made it a global leader in oil and gas exploration; through joint ventures and other strategies, it has diversified and expanded internationally.

Norway created Statoil (currently Equinor) in 1972 with 100% state ownership. Since its inception, the Norwegian government’s plan was for Equinor to be autonomous in business matters as a cost-effective and commercially operated entity. Its partial privatization in 2001, permitting the sale of shares totaling up to one-third of the company’s value, was intended to give the company added flexibility and to strengthen its ability to compete internationally. Equinor is currently a public limited company 67% owned by the state. Its relationship with the state is conducted at arm’s length. It was neither granted a monopoly nor burdened with excessive fiscal or non-core non-commercial obligations.

Malaysia established Petronas in 1974 as a public limited corporation with commercial objectives, and ownership of and
exclusive rights over Malaysia’s hydrocarbon resources. There is no indication that it receives differential tax treatment compared to private oil companies. Petronas is a business with profit as a prime objective. It has taken a strategic decision to expand abroad, especially to markets perceived by other companies as risky.

4.1.2. Non-commercial roles. Does the government clearly define the company’s non-commercial roles? Does this definition limit conflicts of interest? (Precept 6.1.2)

NNPC

NNPC engages in commercial, regulatory, and policy functions, without clearly delineating between commercial and non-commercial roles. Its multiple roles creates significant conflicts of interest, and researchers report that this results in NNPC operating “as though it is above being regulated.” The Department of Petroleum Resources has relied on NNPC’s superior technical capacity to inform policy and regulatory decisions, which facilitates NNPC’s significant influence over policy making. In addition, NNPC engages in a quasi-fiscal role. For example, a 2014 audit of NNPC’s oil sales revealed quasi-fiscal expenditure including loans to foreign governments, payments for a presidential helicopter, and payments for World Cup-related travel. Moreover, NNPC has been tasked with the non-commercial role of promoting local content in the oil sector. This has resulted in NNPC using its position in joint venture management to influence budgeting decisions to award contracts to Nigerian sub-contractors.

Saudi Aramco, Equinor, Petrobras, Petronas, and Sonatrach

While commercially oriented, all five NOCs analyzed also perform or have historically performed non-commercial roles. Among these, regulatory roles include licensing, setting performance standards, ensuring legal compliance of other companies, and approving their exploration and production decisions. Quasi-fiscal roles performed by NOC include servicing national debt, providing infrastructure and public services such as health and education, and granting fuel subsidies.

Non-commercial roles may not always be clearly articulated, in cases such as those of Saudi Aramco, Petronas, and Sonatrach. Even so, to the extent they were mandated with non-commercial roles, the reviewed NOCs have leveraged these national policy objectives to develop international comparative advantages (for example: expertise in deep-water fields for Petrobras). Following international best practices, all reviewed NOCs but Petronas have transitioned out of the regulatory roles given to them historically. They have also generally succeeded in operating independently from government interference, although recent conflict of interest and corruption cases in which Petrobras was implicated cannot be ignored.

Although Equinor has been a commercially-driven enterprise from its inception, between 1972 and 1981 it assumed functions of managing the state’s equity interest in oil and gas licenses and pipelines and serving as a conduit for economic development, including through technology transfer and local content requirements. This non-commercial role was phased out in 1985, with the creation of a separate entity—Statens Direkte Økonomisk Engagement (State’s Direct Financial Interest [SDFI])—to acquire most of the Norwegian state’s interest in production licenses. Norway removed this role from Equinor and partially privatized it in 2001 to give the company greater flexibility and ability to compete internationally. The relationship between Equinor and the government is a distant one between the company as a government entity and revenue generator, and changing political goals.

Equinor is said to be free from pressures faced by many other NOCs, both in terms of the non-commercial activities that the company has to directly engage in and a continual adjustment of the company’s strategy to conform to shorter-term domestic policy goals.

Besides the objective to obtain commercial success, Saudi Aramco has also had the objective of supporting the Saudi national mission—including ensuring the survival of the Al Saud ruling family, seeking economic prosperity for political stability, and providing financial levers to sustain the government’s legitimacy. Saudi Aramco’s managers seek projects that can achieve commercial success and generate social benefits, but this approach has generated tension at times, when the Saudi government sought to maximize social benefit at the expense of commercial success.

The Brazilian government originally tasked Petrobras with the goal of achieving self-sufficiency in both oil production and refining capacity. More recently, Brazil’s federal government sought greater security of supply and directed Petrobras to explore in Brazil’s deep offshore Campos basin. Petrobras has been commercially profitable and internationally competitive while also responding to the government’s national security mandates. When Petrobras was partially privatized in 1997, the government established the National Petroleum Agency to take over many of the non-commercial regulatory roles performed by the company. At the same time, Petrobras provides a cautionary lesson in government interference. It was also put under pressure to spend in non-core areas and subsidize fuels. The Operation Car Wash bribery scandals resulted in former Brazilian president Ignacio Lula Da Silva being convicted and imprisoned for bribery schemes;
Petrobras was implicated in these schemes and was fined by U.S. authorities.\textsuperscript{155}

Petronas is a business with profit as a prime objective and has remained relatively independent of the government in its day-to-day operations.\textsuperscript{156} However, the company has conducted non-commercial activities. It acts as an industry regulator and is the exclusive owner and grantors of licenses to the private sector.\textsuperscript{157} It also has quasi-fiscal activities such as being a private banker for the government by acquiring interests in a shipping company and a national carmaker, or a property developer in building the Petronas Towers and the administrative capital of Putrajaya.\textsuperscript{158} It has often translated these policy objectives into commercial opportunities. For example, when Malaysia’s petroleum fields were maturing in the 1990s, the government’s desire to guarantee security of supply resulted in Petronas expanding overseas.\textsuperscript{159}

Sonatrach’s responsibilities grew in the 1960s and 1970s, as Algeria’s oil assets abroad were nationalized, and the company operated as the instrument of the Algerian state’s monopoly of gas and transport of hydrocarbons. However, the company’s direct control of these assets was reduced in the 1980s, being spun off to subsidiaries. In 2005, new legislation liberalized the oil and gas sector, revoking Sonatrach’s monopoly over upstream activities (even though a minimum participation of Sonatrach at 51\% is still required), and creating independent regulatory bodies—the National Agency for the Valorization of Hydrocarbon Resources (ALNAFT) and the Hydrocarbons Regulatory Authority (ARH). These two bodies took over Sonatrach’s regulatory and licensing roles, and Sonatrach maintains its status as an operator only. Foreign partners in production contracts with Sonatrach no longer collect their taxes with Sonatrach as an intermediate, now paying them directly to ALNAFT.\textsuperscript{160} However, the company contributes to Algeria’s low RGI score in reporting on non-commercial activities,\textsuperscript{161} and allegations of corruption have damaged the company in recent years.\textsuperscript{162}

4.1.3. Funding mechanism. Does the government ensure that the SOE has a workable funding mechanism? (Precept 6.1.3)

**NNPC**

The level of budget and financial autonomy is among the indicators of workable funding mechanisms in NOCs. Based on these factors, NNPC is not considered to have a workable funding mechanism that allows predictable and sufficient revenue flows to fund its operations, though there have been improvements in recent years.\textsuperscript{163}

In terms of budget autonomy, the board of directors’ decision-making powers are subject to presidential/cabinet approval, and the board has limited and circumscribed decision-making power on financial matters.\textsuperscript{164} Indeed, NNPC is amongst the group of NOCs that transfer the most to the government, with low retention of revenues. Based on publicly available data for 2011–2014, NNPC transferred an average of USD 50 per barrel to the government.\textsuperscript{165} However, due to unclear accounting of revenues and the network of NNPC subsidiaries with some of them sitting offshore, the accurate amount of NNPC’s accumulated revenues remains unknown to the public. In addition, there are no clear rules of transfer

### Table 1: NOCs, Achievement of Goals, and Regulatory and Fiscal Roles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company achievement of government technical and economic goals</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Company plays regulatory role (as of today)?</th>
<th>Company plays quasi-fiscal role (as of today)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Equinor</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saudi Aramco</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Petrobras</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Petronas</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Sonatrach</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>NNPC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Prepared by the authors based on NRGI.\textsuperscript{269}
of revenues to the government as a legal conflict remains between section 162 of Nigeria’s Federal Constitution of 1999, on the one hand, which requires all government-collected revenues to enter the Federation Account, and section 7 of the NNPC Act of 1977 on the other, which allows the corporation to maintain a “fund” to finance its activities, without further specification. As such, NNPC has interpreted this rule over the years with little effect in improving its ability to perform. For example, once clear source of revenue waste and inefficiency is the Domestic Crude Allocation (DCA). Every day, the Nigerian government allocates 445,000 barrels to NNPC for sales to the domestic refineries through its subsidiary, the Pipelines and Product Marketing Company (PPMC). Because the four Nigerian refineries can only process around 100,000 barrels per day, NNPC reroutes most of the DCA to the export market or petroleum for product swaps. NNPC then exerts discretionary withholding on these export revenues to finance its activities with the percentage being sent to the federal level varying from year to year (for example, it was 73% in 2004 and 58% in 2012). 

NNPC has also consistently struggled to meet its JV cash call obligations. Previously, the Nigerian government managed funds relating to upstream joint venture petroleum operations and NNPC’s equity share of a JV and funded cash calls by means of annual budget appropriation. The federal government no longer funds cash calls directly; instead, they are now funded by aggregate revenues from NNPC’s “subsidiaries and business units, deductions from oil revenue due to the federation, and third-party financing for approved projects to finance its operations.” This aggregation of revenues occurs in the absence of clear rules and outside of the formal budgeting process. 

**Saudi Aramco, Equinor, Petrobras, Petronas, and Sonatrach**

All five international NOCs play a sophisticated commercial role with financing needs associated with large-scale investment. Appropriately they all retain a moderate to high level of autonomy on revenues to finance their activities and have considerable autonomy and decision-making power on financial, budgetary, and investment matters while being submitted to strong oversight and reporting mechanisms (see Precepts 6.2.2 and 6.3). Most of the NOCs (Petrobras, Petronas, Equinor, and Saudi Aramco) are listed on stock exchanges, and some (Petrobras, Petronas, and Equinor) can issue bonds in the international financial market to finance their capital expenditures.

Equinor has a general policy to keep a liquidity reserve, as well as committed, unused credit to ensure that it has sufficient financial resources to meet short-term requirements. It finances its capital expenditures both internally and external-
4.2. SOE corporate governance. Do the SOE’s corporate governance systems limit political interference in the company’s technical decisions, while ensuring effective oversight? (Precept 6.2)

4.2.1. Role of state shareholders. Does the government clearly establish the identity and role of state shareholders in the SOE? (Precept 6.2.1)

NNPC

NNPC is a wholly owned state enterprise, and the President of Nigeria exercises decision-making power, with few checks and balances to temper political interference in NNPC’s operations. For example, NNPC is granted limited powers to contract and spend, a role typically exercised through operational units. Approving power in these matters is concentrated in the president. In general, there are reportedly high levels of political interference in NNPC’s operations, including in the discretionary allocation of licensees, crude oil lifting contracts, fuel importation contracts, and in the appointment of senior employees.

Saudi Aramco, Equinor, Petrobras, Petronas, and Sonatrach

All five international NOCs analyzed provide clarity on the identity and role of state shareholders. In some of them—notably Equinor but also Petrobras, Petronas, and Saudi Aramco to a large extent—corporate governance systems are in place that limit political interference in the company and ensure effective oversight. In turn, Sonatrach operates with a lower degree of independence from the government.

Petrobras’s bylaws provide that it is a mixed-capital company controlled by Brazil’s Federal Government, for an indefinite term. The bylaws indicate that the government may guide the activities of Petrobras “to contribute to the public interest that justified its creation, aiming at meeting the objective of the national energy policy,” as long as certain conditions are met: policy objectives are required by law or regulation and provided for in a contractual arrangement; costs and revenues of investment projects to achieve such objectives are broken down and disclosed; and the obligations and responsibilities assumed by the company abide by specified market conditions.

As regards Equinor, the Norwegian State’s ownership policy is that the principles in the Norwegian Code of Practice for Corporate Governance will apply to State ownership. The Norwegian Ministry of Petroleum and Energy (MPE) manages the State ownership interest in Equinor. The MPE will customarily decide how the Norwegian State will vote on proposals submitted to general meetings of the shareholders.

Since Saudi Aramco became an NOC, successive Saudi kings and governments have protected its commercial culture and shielded its day-to-day operations from undue political interference. Even if ultimate control of the company lies with the ruling family, a sophisticated system of public administration has delegated authority in practice. The Supreme Council on Petroleum and Mineral Affairs (SCPMA)—composed of the king, the crown prince, eight ministers, and the CEO of Saudi Aramco—formally regulates the oil sector and oversees Saudi Aramco’s activities. In practice, SCPMA’s supervisory role has been minimal, simply endorsing the decisions made by Saudi Aramco’s board of directors and trusting that the company’s strategy will align with the country’s goals.

The Prime Minister’s office is the sole shareholder in Petronas and collects annual dividends and taxes from the company. Although the management of Petronas has a close relationship with the Malaysian government, particularly the Prime Minister’s Office, Prime Ministers have usually been careful not to appear to be too deeply involved in day-to-day activities of the company. In part, there is recognition that the appearance of an overly interfering government would have a negative effect on world markets. At the same time, it is to be expected that when the national interest is paramount or there is need to tap into the financial resources of Petronas, there will be state involvement.

Sonatrach is formally a joint-stock company but in practice a public company wholly owned and tightly controlled by the Algerian government. While striving to ensure that Sonatrach performed efficiently, the Algerian government has maintained financial, administrative, and legal control and influence over the company’s revenues and operations, often undercutting its performance. Since the restructuring of the company in 1998, its General Assembly—chaired by the Ministry of Energy and Mines—reports to the National Energy Council (NEC), which oversees the country’s energy policy agenda and holds operational and legal control over Sonatrach, even if not involved in its daily operations. The NEC is headed by the Algerian president and includes as members the central bank governor, a representative of the Presidency, and three leading ministers.
4.2.2. Board models. Does the SOE have an empowered, professional and independent board? (Precept 6.2.2)

**NNPC**

The NNPC Act stipulates that NNPC’s board consists of a chairperson, the Director-General of the Federal Ministry of Finance and Economic Development, the managing director of NNPC, and three people appointed by the National Council of Ministers based on their ability, experience or specialized knowledge of the oil industry or of business or professional attainments and can make useful contributions to the work of NNPC. The majority of NNPC’s directors are not independent of the government. The Minister of Petroleum Resources, a role currently held by the President, is specified by the Act as the chairperson of the board, and the board operates mainly at direction of the President.

**Saudi Aramco, Equinor, Petrobras, Petronas, and Sonatrach**

All international NOCs analyzed are professionally run by technically competent management, following corporate governance structures and practices comparable to the OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. However, in Sonatrach, Petrobras, Petronas, and Saudi Aramco, there are government representatives in the boards of directors, the CEO is appointed by the government, and a board member may also be CEO. The involvement of government officials weakens the independence of the NOCs’ boards of directors, which should be composed of members selected based on their technical expertise rather than political considerations.

The work of the governing bodies in Equinor is based on a well-defined separation of roles and responsibilities between the shareholders, the Board of Directors and the Management. The Board of Equinor is independent of the Management of the Company. The Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of Equinor is appointed by the Board who also stipulates his/her salary. The board of directors consists of nine to eleven members. The Norwegian Company Law stipulates that the company’s employees are represented by three Board members. The Members of the Board elected by the employees have three deputy members who attend board meetings in the event an employee-elected member of the board is unable to attend. The other Board members elected by other shareholders do not have deputy members. The management is not represented on the Board of Directors.

As regards Saudi Aramco, the Saudi Kingdom is required to directly nominate six candidates for election to the membership of the board of directors, while the other five directors ought to be independent as mandated by the law and regulations of the Kingdom. The State, like all other holders of ordinary shares, has the right to vote at the Ordinary General Assembly for the election of all board members other than the President and CEO of the Company. Similarly, the voting right of the State at the Ordinary General Assembly on the dismissal of the members of the Board can apply to independent directors and members who have not been nominated by it.

Petrobras’s Board of Directors consists of a minimum of seven and a maximum of eleven members, elected at the General Shareholders Meeting for a term of up to two years, with the possibility of a maximum of three consecutive re-elections. As the holder of at least 50% plus one share of the voting capital of the company, Brazil’s Federal Government has the power to elect a majority of the board members. In addition, the Ministry of Economy has the right to indicate one of them. The Board of Directors must be composed of at least 40% of independent members. The Executive Office includes one Chairperson, chosen by the Board of Directors from among its members, and up to eight Executive Officers, elected by the Board of Directors, among natural persons residing in the Brazil, for a term of up to two years, with the possibility of a maximum of three consecutive re-elections; they can be dismissed at any time.

Until 2009, the board of directors of Petronas was composed of the Director General of the Economic Planning Unit, the General Secretary of the Ministry of Finance, the Director of the Economic and Coordination Unit, and the independent advocate and solicitor. However, since 2010, the Non-Executive Directors are now appointed and re-appointed by the Annual General Meeting. All the Non-Executive Directors are considered by the Board to be wholly independent. The Malaysian Prime Minister appoints the chairperson of the board. Petrobas has a Board Selection Criteria and Guiding Principles for the Appointment/Re-appointment of Directors so as to ensure that the Board is refreshed timely and with the right composition. It also has an Independent Directors’ Guidelines whereby the Independent Directors’ Review is part of an annual exercise. The Guidelines regulate amongst others, the tenure of independent Non-Executive Directors and the criteria for Independent Directors. The positions and roles of Chairperson of the board and CEO are held by two different individuals in order to promote greater accountability.

In Sonatrach, several individuals from various Algerian ministries—two from the Ministry of Energy, two from the Ministry of Finance, and one from the Algerian Central Bank—serve as members of the board of directors, along with several of the
company’s senior managers and its CEO, who serves at the same time as chair of the board of directors. In turn, the Executive Committee consists of the chair and CEO as well as other senior managers of the company, including vice-presidents and executive directors. As chair of Sonatrach’s General Assembly, the Ministry of Energy and Mines nominates the company’s chair and CEO and approves its Executive Committee. Ultimately, the Algerian president has the final say in the appointment of managers, vice-presidents, and other personnel.

4.2.3. Staff integrity. Does the SOE invest in staff integrity and capacity? (Precept 6.2.3)

**NNPC**

The Talent Management Department in Abuja manages staff training for all the strategic business units and corporate services units. NNPC has a Training Academy in Kaduna. NNPC also has a secondment arrangement for on-the-job-training with joint venture operators, contractors and other partners both locally and overseas. The 2019 Benchmarking Report notes:

The NNPC has one of the more robust remunerations and professional development structures among Nigerian public service organizations. The NNPC’s Leadership Academy was established to provide technical, managerial and executive training within and outside the corporation.

However, a survey of NNPC staff reveal difficulties in translating training into practical technical capacity and integrity performance. Olive Egbuta in her study on “Leadership Succession Practices and Employees’ Career Development in The Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation” surveyed a sample of NNPC employees and recorded the following opinions:

There is a constant leadership changes and the way it is done makes the rest of the employees feel insecure and believe the same faith may befall them. Government interference in NNPC is huge. The government decides who comes in and who goes out leading to staff diminishing commitment to the organization. [The Group Managing Director role] is no longer considered as career based but highly political, therefore it is no longer news to hear of sacking but routine.

The study also found that over two thirds of survey respondents do not believe that NNPC has an established career path for employees and professional groups, or that training and development opportunities are explicitly linked to the strategic direction of NNPC.

**Saudi Aramco, Equinor, Petrobras, Petronas, and Sonatrach**

The five international NOCs analyzed operate with clear rules and institutional frameworks for staff development and integrity. In Petronas, Equinor, and Saudi Aramco, CEOs are typically promoted from within the companies, rewarding professional growth and fostering capacity building, stability, and longer-term thinking. In all NOCs, there are mechanisms for career development for staff, institutionalized integrity training and compliance frameworks, clearly defined recruitment processes, and plans for leadership and succession.

Saudi Aramco makes significant contributions to the development of human capital, with estimated expenditures of around USD 500 million per year on human resources. For example, first-year employees attend the company’s Industrial Training Center in Dharn, and the most promising ones are awarded full scholarships for overseas training. Petrobras maintains programs that provide ethical, technical, and leadership training to its employees. In addition, it strives to continually improve its corporate governance and compliance practices, based on codes of conduct and good practice as well as channels for employees and other stakeholders to report potential breaches.

Equinor’s code of conduct regulates the company’s practices toward its employees (including with respect to diversity and privacy), operations (including guidance on avoiding corruption and conflicts of interests), business partners, the environment, and stakeholders such as governments and local communities. In Petronas, executives must comply with good governance best practices and training requirements set out in the Malaysian Code on Corporate Governance. The company has also instituted a Code of Conduct and Business Ethics Guide, with guidance on conflicts of interest, fighting corruption, financial integrity, workplace culture, among others.

Sonatrach’s code of conduct of 2010 outlines the company’s values; sets out its commitments to employees, commercial partners, and the environment. The code includes ethics norms applicable to all employees, subject to enforcement by an ethics committee.
4.3. SOE transparency and accountability. Are SOE decision-making and operations transparent and accountable? (Precept 6.3)

4.3.1. SOE operational and payment data. Does the SOE disclose key operational and payment data? (Precept 6.3.1)

NNPC

According to the Resource Governance Index and EITI, the government publicly discloses how much revenue it receives from NNPC, and NNPC publicly discloses how much revenue it transfers to the government. Information on NNPC’s aggregate production volume is also publicly available, as is information on its aggregate sales volume. But NNPC does not publicly disclose the amount spent on non-commercial activities, disaggregated information on the sale of production by NNPC and subsidiaries (for example, beneficial ownership on off-takers, beneficial owners operating in commodity trading), or the cost of its operations. NNPC does not disclose detailed annual reports either. However, for the first time, in 2020, NNPC disclosed the audited statement of its accounts and that of its subsidiaries, disclosing the state of profitability of profit and loss of the entire group. Time will tell if this becomes a normal and regular occurrence.

Saudi Aramco, Equinor, Petrobras, Petronas, and Sonatrach

The NOCs analyzed disclose key operational and payment data, including, in some cases details about their subsidiaries to varying degrees. The extent to which the companies are listed on a stock exchange with strong disclosure requirements or belonging to countries member to the EITI influences the extent of the disclosure.

Petrobras and Equinor, being listed on stock exchanges with rigorous corporate reporting requirements (New York, Oslo, and Sao Paulo), offer an important amount of key operational data. Similarly, Petronas has become more transparent over time thanks to its bond issuance while some of its subsidiaries have been listed on the Kuala Lumpur stock exchange since the mid-1990s. For years, Saudi Aramco has been opaque, but in April 2019 as part of the initial public offering (IPO) effort, disclosed a significant amount of key operational data and transfers to the government. Sonatrach, however, is not listed on any stock exchange, and has ranks the lowest of the five NOCs analyzed in the Resource Governance Index; however, it still ranks higher than NNPC. Post-IPO disclosures for Saudi Aramco remain to be seen as a result of its listing on the Saudi stock exchange.

Belonging to the only country being subject to European transparency directive and EITI compliant member, Equinor is tremendously more transparent than the other NOCs. Its disclosures detail its payments by projects in jurisdiction, the financial relationship between government and state enterprises, production volumes disaggregated by field, revenues from oil sales and how the oil is marketed on an aggregate basis.

Irrespective of the reporting requirements to which companies are subject, they all remain not transparent enough on commodity trading. In addition, Sonatrach and Petrobras remain unclear on their transfers to the government, and all of the NOCs playing a non-commercial role remain unclear on such activities.

4.3.2. SOE financial reporting and audits. Does the SOE subject itself to independent financial audits, and publish the results? (Precept 6.3.2)

NNPC

The NNPC Act requires NNPC’s annual financial statements to be audited by an independent auditor, however NNPC has not regularly published the audited reports. NNPC has publicly disclosed unaudited operational and financial data on a monthly basis, and for the first time, in 2020, NNPC published the audited statement of its accounts included disaggregated by subsidiaries.

Saudi Aramco, Equinor, Petrobras, Petronas, and Sonatrach

Of the four NOCs, the two privatized or partially privatized ones—Petrobras and Equinor—subject themselves to independent financial audits and publish the results of those audits, as required by the stock exchanges where they are listed. Petronas does not follow this practice for the parent company of the group, but its publicly listed subsidiaries do. Petronas and Saudi Aramco, which remain largely government owned, prepare and publish financial statements according to international financial reporting standards, but without engaging independent external auditors to prepare them. Petronas is only subjected to state auditors. As a result of its public offering, Saudi Aramco will need to conduct independent audits and disclose reports to comply with stock exchange requirements. Sonatrach, however, subjects its financial reports to internal audits only, publishing the auditors’ certification.
4.3.3. SOE legislative oversight. Does the legislature oversee SOE performance without unduly constraining its decision making? (Precept 6.3.3)

**NNPC**

In exercising their role in legislating in the public interest, setting national priorities and strategies, and approving government budgets, parliamentarians need information on the impact of NOCs on revenues and can help oversee the operation of these companies. NNPC is not required to submit annual reports to the legislature on its annual activities. Legislative oversight is reactive rather than proactive, often in response to allegations of wrongdoing, and has not generally led to accountability for wrongdoing or poor performance. More recently, in the context of the current oil crisis, Nigeria’s high cost of oil production and associated poor marginal profit has alarmed its current legislators who have demanded increased transparency around the cost structure. The Nigerian Senate has challenged NNPC to be more transparent with federally funded projects.

**Saudi Aramco, Equinor, Petrobras, Petronas, and Sonatrach**

All five international NOCs analyzed are subject to Parliament oversight in some way. Petrobras is the most constrained and submit its budget for legislative approval and been reporting its performance to Brazil’s National Congress on a quarterly basis since 2016. In turn, Sonatrach, Petronas, Equinor, and Saudi Aramco are not required to seek government approval of their budgets. Petronas shares its budget with the legislature, whereas the others do not. Sonatrach only shares its budget with the regulatory authority, ALNAFT.

In all companies, in exceptional cases the legislature can intervene. For instance, the Norwegian State may be required to seek approval from the Norwegian Parliament’s (the Storting) before voting on certain proposals for Equinor: for instance, projects with important economic and social externalities, or costs exceeding USD 840 million or if Equinor issues additional shares and such issuance would significantly dilute the Norwegian State’s holding, or if such issuance would require a capital contribution from the Norwegian State in excess of government mandates.
5. Recommendations

The persistent critical issues in NNPC’s governance have both hampered oil sector development and deprived Nigeria from public resources. The oil, climate, and COVID-19 crises and the ramp-up of the low-carbon transition exacerbate this reality, with the NOC providing sub-optimal returns to its stakeholders. It is therefore time for the Nigerian government and legislature to reconsider NNPC’s role in the context of the energy transition and accordingly implement profound reform of the company. While the government has been called upon many times in the past to reform NNPC, the current crisis provides a unique opportunity and political momentum to forge ahead with much-needed reform to avoid long-term damage to the country.

We encourage the Nigerian government to take the following practical steps:

1. **Hire experts to develop an energy transition plan for Nigeria.** The plan to be developed must assess how to phase out the exploitation of oil and avoid the asset-stranding risk, developing a feasible and bankable roadmap for the exploitation of associated gas during the remaining exploitation of oil, and analyzing the type of renewable energy generation deployment that is necessary to satisfy the country’s energy needs (utility scale, home systems, mini grid, among others).

2. **Run an institutional analysis of the role to be played by each key public or private institution in the energy transition.** Defining what role NNPC could usefully play in the energy transition means understanding the roles of the other key actors as well, such as national power utilities, the ministry of energy, private investors in power generation, technology and research institutions, international donors, and international and national banks. Responsibilities should be clearly allocated and institutions’ roles defined accordingly.

3. **Fundamentally reform NNPC, or privatize.** NNPC cannot play a useful role in the energy transition without deep reform seeking, in particular, to make it independent from political interference at all operational and management levels, reduce opportunities for corruption, enshrine transparency and internal as well as external oversight in NNPC’s governance framework, build capacity within the company to operate in the renewable energy sector, and legally clarify its funding mechanism and revenue retention model. As is done in the utility sector, the government could also sign a management contract with clear performance indicators with NNPC. Public listing of the company on a stock exchange is an avenue to consider. If such profound reform is not feasible for lack of political champions inside the government or within NNPC, a better avenue would be to privatize NNPC or at least some of its subsidiaries.

4. **Include principles of climate change governance in the NNPC reform agenda.** Whether NNPC remains a public entity or is privatized, the government should impose climate change governance principles as recommended by TPI (see annex) on NNPC’s management (and any other company operating in an emission-intensive industry).

5. **Consider setting up a separate strategic division of NNPC or in case of NNPC privatization, an independent entity with clearly delineated objectives and responsibilities focused on the low-carbon energy transition.**

   a. **Organizational and strategic objectives:** Consider whether and how the division or entity should focus on:

   - Reducing carbon emission from existing and future oil and gas projects;
   - Planning how to limit the number and type oil and gas projects to facilitate the low-carbon transition;
   - Developing new business in renewable energy;
   - Coordinating with private investors or with other government agencies;
   - Ensuring alignment of activities with the objectives of the Paris Agreement and Nigeria’s climate commitments; among others.

   To prevent vested interests from stalling progress, the division or entity should be staffed with new hires with relevant skills and experience, including, for example, entrepreneurship, small businesses, venture capital, customer service and marketing, logistics, environmental economics, change management, project management, environmental science, information technology, among others.

   b. **Organizational processes:** Aspects to be considered include:

   - Establishing clear and relevant target metrics and performance results that are closely tied with the objectives of the entity and its role in the energy transition. Results should be made publicly available, independently audited, and used in evaluating effectiveness, such as:

     o Carbon intensity trajectory as compared to Paris Agreement-aligned emissions pathways;
o Quantity of methane leaks and gas flaring from oil and gas production;
o Number of oil and gas fields with carbon capture and storage;
o Number and percentage of households with home-based solar systems;
o Percentage of overall power generation using renewables, natural gas, heat recovery systems, and carbon capture;
o Percentage of building consumption using electricity, natural gas, and heat recovery systems;
o Percentage of transportation consumption using electricity, liquefied petroleum gas, sustainable biofuels, and carbon capture.

• Establishing a compliance timeline for existing fields to stop gas flaring, control methane leaks, eliminate the use of diesel to power operations and facilities, make mandatory the use associated gas or renewable energies, and establish carbon emission standards.

• Assessing the stranded asset risk and on this basis, establishing strict criteria for approvals of new oil and gas projects. These should include: prohibiting gas flaring, withdrawing fiscal incentives, and ensuring methane leak controls in place. New oil and gas projects should not increase Nigeria’s stranded asset risk.

• Establishing an independent oversight agency for contracting processes and awards, subjected to public disclosure requirements.

c. Funding: Funding sources for this new division or entity should be identified in advance and in ways that incentivize desired results. The following options could be considered:

• Establishing a formula for the specific source of funding for this division or entity, for example, from existing petroleum revenues, but with that source declining over time in order to avoid incentivizing more oil production. Funding from oil revenues would be replaced over time as the new division or entity achieves its commercial objectives and becomes self-sustaining.

• Establishing joint ventures or funding mechanisms with Niger Delta Power Holding Company (NDPHC), NNPC’s RED and Gas and Power divisions, the International Finance Corporation (IFC), the African Development Bank (AfDB), the European Union, USAID’s Power Africa and private investors (such as the renewable energy divisions of the International Oil Companies) to assist with new energy transition projects and to assist smaller Nigerian oil companies with carbon emission compliance.
6. Conclusion

In contrast to the governments of Algeria, Brazil, Malaysia, Norway, and Saudi Arabia, the Nigerian government cannot yet rely on NNPC to harness the energy transition. NNPC’s energy transition program is weak and lacks strategic and operational means.

Moreover, the government cannot rely on NNPC to be an oil and gas market player for the remaining time before fossil fuels become stranded. Its cost of extraction is too high for today’s price levels, and its carbon intensity is higher than the global average, which is problematic for the environment as well as on a commercial basis as worldwide carbon pricing initiatives proliferate.

It is therefore imperative for NNPC to seize the energy transition as a business opportunity to save itself as well as Nigeria from its oil dependence. However, NNPC can only do so if it embarks on a long overdue reform of its governance. The disclosure of NNPC’s audits that occurred in early 2020 for the first time in its history is a promising sign that the company may be turning a corner.

The experience and governance systems of the five NOCs reviewed for this report should not be followed blindly, as many of them should also considerably improve their governance and energy transition strategies. Even so, they remain ahead of NNPC in both areas and as such can serve as benchmarks or, at the very least, sources of inspiration.

Moreover, the benchmarking framework developed by the NRC for the governance of NOCs used in this report and that of TPI to assess the quality of the climate and energy transition governance and management (see Annex 1) are invaluable tools to guide the reform of the NNPC. We hope that these frameworks and the comparative experiences highlighted will support reflection around much-needed NNPC reform.

As Nigerian policymakers focus on mitigating the fallout from the painful recession triggered by the COVID-19 pandemic reform of NNPC should fall near the very top of the agenda. Such efforts will position NNPC to sustainably contribute to Nigeria’s economy and energy independence in the long term.
Annex: TPI Questionnaire

**Level 0: Unaware of Climate Change as a Business Issue**
1. Does the company acknowledge climate change as a significant issue for the business?

**Level 1: Acknowledging Climate Change as a Business Issue**
2. Does the company recognize climate change as a relevant risk and/or opportunity for the business?
3. Does the company have a policy (or equivalent) commitment to action on climate change?

**Level 2: Building Capacity**
4. Has the company set greenhouse gas emission reduction targets?
5. Has the company published information on its Scope 1 and 2 greenhouse gas emissions?

**Level 3: Integrating into Operational Decision Making**
6. Has the company nominated a board member or board committee with explicit responsibility for oversight of the climate change policy?
7. Has the company set quantitative targets for reducing its greenhouse gas emissions?
8. Does the company report on Scope 3 emissions?
9. Has the company had its operational (Scope 1 and/or 2) greenhouse gas emissions data verified?
10. Does the company support domestic and international efforts to mitigate climate change?
11. Does the company disclose its membership and involvement in trade associations engaged in climate issues?
12. Does the company have a process to manage climate-related risks?
13. Does the company disclose Scope 3 use of product emissions?

**Level 4: Strategic Assessment**
14. Has the company set long-term quantitative targets for reducing its greenhouse gas emissions?
15. Does the company’s remuneration for senior executives incorporate climate change performance?
16. Does the company incorporate climate change risks and opportunities in their strategy?
17. Does the company undertake climate scenario planning?
18. Does the company disclose an internal price of carbon?
19. Does the company ensure consistency between its climate change policy and the positions taken by trade associations of which it is a member?
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